# Renal Outcomes in People With HIV-1 and Renal Impairment Treated With B/F/TAF in Randomized Trials

# Frank Post<sup>1</sup>, David Wohl<sup>2</sup>, Geoffroy Liegeon<sup>3</sup>, Indira Brar<sup>4</sup>, Debbie Hagins<sup>5</sup>, Yazdan Yazdanpanah<sup>6</sup>, Anchalee Avihingsanon<sup>7</sup>, Hui Liu<sup>8</sup>, Keith Aizen<sup>8</sup>, Jason T Hindman<sup>8</sup>, Samir Gupta<sup>9</sup>

<sup>1</sup>King's College Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK; <sup>2</sup>University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC, USA; <sup>3</sup>Saint Louis-Hospital, AP-HP, Université Paris, France; <sup>4</sup>Henry Ford Hospital, AP-HP, Université Paris, France; <sup>4</sup>Henry Ford Hospital, AP-HP, Paris, France; <sup>4</sup>Henry Ford Hospital, AP-HP, Université Paris, France; <sup>4</sup>Henry Ford Hospital, AP-HP, Paris, France; <sup>4</sup>Henry Ford Hospital, AP-HP, Université Paris, France; <sup>4</sup>Henry Ford Hospital, AP-HP, Paris, France; <sup>4</sup>Henry Ford Hospital, AP-HP, Paris, France; <sup>4</sup>Henry Ford Hospital, AP-HP, Vitersité Paris, France; <sup>4</sup>Henry Ford Hospital, AP-HP, Paris, France; <sup>4</sup>Henry Ford Hospital, AP-HP, Paris, France; <sup>4</sup>Henry Ford Hospital, AP-HP, Vitersité Paris, France; <sup>4</sup>Henry Ford Hospital, AP-HP, Vitersité, Paris, France; <sup>4</sup>Henry For <sup>7</sup>HIV-NAT, Thai Red Cross AIDS Research Centre, Bangkok, Thailand; <sup>8</sup>Gilead Sciences, Inc., Foster City, CA, USA; <sup>9</sup>Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, IN, USA

# Conclusions

- In a retrospective analysis of renal outcomes in PWH with mild to moderate renal impairment (eGFR<sub>CG</sub> 30–< 90 mL/min) at baseline, eGFR<sub>CG</sub> remained stable with up to 5 years of B/F/TAF treatment
- Only 1 (< 0.1%) participant with renal impairment discontinued B/F/TAF due to a renal TEAE (acute kidney injury unrelated to B/F/TAF)
- Overall, renal TEAEs were infrequent, occurring in < 3% (28/1069) of participants with renal impairment; there were no occurrences of proximal renal tubulopathy or Fanconi syndrome
- The rate of renal TEAEs was similar to that with other antiretroviral regimens<sup>1-9</sup>
- Changes in eGFR<sub>CG</sub> and the occurrence of renal safety events were generally consistent between those with renal impairment at baseline versus those without renal impairment ( $eGFR_{CG} \ge 90 \text{ mL/min}$ )

# Plain Language Summary

- Many people living with the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) have conditions that affect their kidneys
- This analysis combined information from nine different studies. It looked at whether the HIV treatment bictegravir/emtricitabine/tenofovir alafenamide (B/F/TAF) is safe for people with HIV and kidney disease
- This study looked at over 1000 people who had mildly to moderately reduced kidney function and were taking B/F/TAF. The researchers examined how kidney function changed over time while taking this medication
- The study also looked to see if anyone developed a new kidney-related condition and if anyone had to stop taking B/F/TAF because of these conditions
- The results showed that kidney function remained the same and did not worsen with B/F/TAF use in people with HIV who have conditions affecting their kidneys
- Kidney function after taking B/F/TAF was the same as in people with HIV taking B/T/TAF who did not have conditions affecting their kidneys
- Only one person stopped taking B/F/TAF because of a new condition affecting their kidneys; the doctors did not think this condition was caused by B/F/TAF
- Less than 3% of people in this study had a new condition related to their kidneys while taking B/F/TAF
- This suggests B/F/TAF could be a good option for people with HIV whose kidney function was mildly to moderately reduced

- Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is a common comorbidity in PWH,<sup>11</sup> and its prevalence among PWH is likely to increase as the population gets older

GS-US-380-4449 was not randomized (single-group study). Includes participants randomized to comparator group during the randomized phase who switched to B/F/TAF in the extension phase B/F/TAF, bictegravir/emtricitabine/tenofovir alafenamide; eGFR<sub>CG</sub>, estimated glomerular filtration rate by Cockcroft-Gault equation; TEAE, treatment-emergent adverse event; TN, treatment-naïve; VS, virologically suppressed.

Results



References: 1. Gallant J, et al. Lancet. 2017;390:2063-72. 2. Sax PE, et al. Lancet. 2017;390:2073-82. 3. Avihingsanon A, et al. Lancet HIV. 2023;10:e640-52. 4. Molina J-M, et al. Lancet HIV. 2018;5:e357-65. 5. Daar ES, et al. Lancet HIV. 2018;5:e347-56. 6. Kityo C, et al. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2019;82:321-8. 7. Sax PE, et al. Clin Infect Dis. 2021;73:e485-93. 8. Maggiolo F, et al. Infect Dis Ther. 2021;10:775-88. 9. Hagins D, et al. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2021;88:86-95. 10. US Department of Health and Human Services. https://www.hiv.gov/hiv-basics/living-well-with-hiv/taking-care-of-yourself/aging-with-hiv (accessed Dec. 6, 2024). 11. McCutcheon K, et al. Circ Res. 2024;134:1636-60. 12. Surial B, et al. J Infect Dis. 2020;222:637-45. 13. Gupta SK, et al. AIDS. 2019;33:1455-65. 14. Biktarvy USPI, Gilead Sciences, Inc., April 2024.

Acknowledgments: We thank all study participants, investigators, and staff. This study was sponsored by Gilead Sciences, Inc. Medical writing support was provided by Flint Stevenson-Jones, PhD (Aspire Scientific Ltd, UK), and was funded by Gilead Sciences, Inc.

### Introduction

People with HIV (PWH) tend to experience more comorbidities with age than people without HIV<sup>10</sup>

With the need for long-term antiretroviral therapy in PWH, it is critical to establish the renal safety of HIV treatments • Tenofovir alafenamide-based regimens have a superior renal safety profile compared with tenofovir disoproxil fumarate-based regimens.<sup>12,13</sup> improving treatment options for PWH with mild to moderate renal impairment<sup>14</sup>

#### Objective

• To assess renal outcomes through 5 years in PWH with mild to moderate renal impairment receiving bictegravir/emtricitabine/tenofovir alafenamide (B/F/TAF), using pooled data from nine studies

### Methods

#### **Study Design**

#### B/F/TAF Phase 3/3b randomized trials<sup>a</sup>



• Data from nine B/F/TAF Phase 3/3b trials were pooled:

- Eight randomized studies: NCT02607930 (GS-US-380-1489),<sup>1</sup> NCT02607956 (GS-US-380-1490),<sup>2</sup> NCT03547908 (GS-US-380-4458),<sup>3</sup> NCT02603120 (GS-US-380-1844),<sup>4</sup> NCT02603107 (GS-US-380-1878),<sup>5</sup>
- NCT02652624 (GS-US-380-1961),<sup>6</sup> NCT03110380 (GS-US-380-4030),<sup>7</sup> NCT03631732 (GS-US-380-4580)<sup>9</sup> — One single-group study: NCT03405935 (GS-US-380-4449)<sup>8</sup>

#### Baseline Demographics and Disease Characteristics by Baseline eGFR<sub>CG</sub>

30-<45 mL/min (Stage 3b) eGFR <sub>CG</sub> ) n = 845-<60 mL/min (Stage 3a) eGFR <sub>CG</sub> ) n = 8660-<90 mL/min (Stage 2) eGFR <sub>CG</sub> ) n = 975Overall n = 1069Impairment) n = 2815ars, median (Q1, Q3)70 (61, 75)62 (54, 67)52 (43, 59)53 (44, 60)39 (31, 49)sex at birth, n (%)2 (25)34 (40)296 (30)332 (31)642 (23) $1(\%)$ $2$ (25)34 (40)296 (30)332 (31)642 (23) $1(\%)$ $2$ (25)33 (38)321 (33)356 (33)998 (35) $1$ $0$ $5$ (63) $45$ (52) $492$ (51) $542$ (51)1374 (49) $2$ (25)33 (38)321 (33)356 (33)998 (35) $1$ $0$ $5$ (6)110 (11)115 (11)240 (9) $1$ $1$ (13) $12$ (14)148 (15)161 (15)529 (19) $2$ , mL/min, median (Q1, Q3)40.8 (38.6, 42.5)55.8 (51.0, 58.2)78.6 (72.0, 84.8)77.7 (69.6, 84.6)116.8 (102.7, 136.ant history, n (%) $1$ $1$ $1$ $40.8$ (38.6, 42.5)55.6 (5)203 (7)ment naïve $0$ $5$ (6)79 (8)84 (8)670 (24) $2$ (25) $8$ (100) $81$ (94) $896$ (92) $985$ (92) $2145$ (76)		30–< 90 mL/min (Renal Impairment)				≥ 90 mL/min
ars, median (Q1, Q3)70 (61, 75)62 (54, 67)52 (43, 59)53 (44, 60)39 (31, 49)sex at birth, n (%)2 (25)34 (40)296 (30)332 (31)642 (23)(%) </th <th></th> <th>30–&lt; 45 mL/min (Stage 3b eGFR<sub>CG</sub>) n = 8</th> <th>45–&lt; 60 mL/min (Stage 3a eGFR<sub>cG</sub>) n = 86</th> <th>60–&lt; 90 mL/min (Stage 2 eGFR<sub>cG</sub>) n = 975</th> <th>Overall n = 1069</th> <th>(No Renal Impairment) n = 2815</th>		30–< 45 mL/min (Stage 3b eGFR <sub>CG</sub> ) n = 8	45–< 60 mL/min (Stage 3a eGFR <sub>cG</sub> ) n = 86	60–< 90 mL/min (Stage 2 eGFR <sub>cG</sub> ) n = 975	Overall n = 1069	(No Renal Impairment) n = 2815
sex at birth, n (%)   2 (25)   34 (40)   296 (30)   332 (31)   642 (23)     (%)            e   5 (63)   45 (52)   492 (51)   542 (51)   1374 (49)     a   2 (25)   33 (38)   321 (33)   356 (33)   998 (35)     n   0   5 (6)   110 (11)   115 (11)   240 (9)     r <sup>a</sup> 1 (13)   3 (3)   52 (5)   56 (5)   203 (7)     ic or Latine ethnicity, n (%)   1 (13)   12 (14)   148 (15)   161 (15)   529 (19)     a, mL/min, median (Q1, Q3)   40.8 (38.6, 42.5)   55.8 (51.0, 58.2)   78.6 (72.0, 84.8)   77.7 (69.6, 84.6)   116.8 (102.7, 136.7)     ent history, n (%)       240 (9)     gically suppressed   8 (100)   81 (94)   896 (92)   985 (92)   2145 (76)	ears, median (Q1, Q3)	70 (61, 75)	62 (54, 67)	52 (43, 59)	53 (44, 60)	39 (31, 49)
(%)   Image: Market	e sex at birth, n (%)	2 (25)	34 (40)	296 (30)	332 (31)	642 (23)
e 5 (63) 45 (52) 492 (51) 542 (51) 1374 (49)   x 2 (25) 33 (38) 321 (33) 356 (33) 998 (35)   n 0 5 (6) 110 (11) 115 (11) 240 (9)   r <sup>a</sup> 1 (13) 3 (3) 52 (5) 56 (5) 203 (7)   ic or Latine ethnicity, n (%) 1 (13) 12 (14) 148 (15) 161 (15) 529 (19)   ,, mL/min, median (Q1, Q3) 40.8 (38.6, 42.5) 55.8 (51.0, 58.2) 78.6 (72.0, 84.8) 77.7 (69.6, 84.6) 116.8 (102.7, 136.4)   ent history, n (%) 0 5 (6) 79 (8) 84 (8) 670 (24)   ogically suppressed 8 (100) 81 (94) 896 (92) 985 (92) 2145 (76)	n (%)					
x2 (25)33 (38)321 (33)356 (33)998 (35)n05 (6)110 (11)115 (11)240 (9)ra1 (13)3 (3)52 (5)56 (5)203 (7)ic or Latine ethnicity, n (%)1 (13)12 (14)148 (15)161 (15)529 (19)a, mL/min, median (Q1, Q3)40.8 (38.6, 42.5)55.8 (51.0, 58.2)78.6 (72.0, 84.8)77.7 (69.6, 84.6)116.8 (102.7, 136.6)ent history, n (%)05 (6)79 (8)84 (8)670 (24)ogically suppressed8 (100)81 (94)896 (92)985 (92)2145 (76)	e	5 (63)	45 (52)	492 (51)	542 (51)	1374 (49)
n 0 5 (6) 110 (11) 115 (11) 240 (9)   r <sup>a</sup> 1 (13) 3 (3) 52 (5) 56 (5) 203 (7)   ic or Latine ethnicity, n (%) 1 (13) 12 (14) 148 (15) 161 (15) 529 (19)   a, mL/min, median (Q1, Q3) 40.8 (38.6, 42.5) 55.8 (51.0, 58.2) 78.6 (72.0, 84.8) 77.7 (69.6, 84.6) 116.8 (102.7, 136.6)   ent history, n (%) 0 5 (6) 79 (8) 84 (8) 670 (24)   ginally suppressed 8 (100) 81 (94) 896 (92) 985 (92) 2145 (76)	<	2 (25)	33 (38)	321 (33)	356 (33)	998 (35)
ra1 (13)3 (3)52 (5)56 (5)203 (7)ic or Latine ethnicity, n (%)1 (13)12 (14)148 (15)161 (15)529 (19)a, mL/min, median (Q1, Q3)40.8 (38.6, 42.5)55.8 (51.0, 58.2)78.6 (72.0, 84.8)77.7 (69.6, 84.6)116.8 (102.7, 136.7)ent history, n (%)056 (6)79 (8)84 (8)670 (24)ment naïve051 (94)896 (92)985 (92)2145 (76)	า	0	5 (6)	110 (11)	115 (11)	240 (9)
ic or Latine ethnicity, n (%) 1 (13) 12 (14) 148 (15) 161 (15) 529 (19)   a, mL/min, median (Q1, Q3) 40.8 (38.6, 42.5) 55.8 (51.0, 58.2) 78.6 (72.0, 84.8) 77.7 (69.6, 84.6) 116.8 (102.7, 136.7)   ent history, n (%) 0 5 (6) 79 (8) 84 (8) 670 (24)   ogically suppressed 8 (100) 81 (94) 896 (92) 985 (92) 2145 (76)	r <sup>a</sup>	1 (13)	3 (3)	52 (5)	56 (5)	203 (7)
And Mark Provide the State	ic or Latine ethnicity, n (%)	1 (13)	12 (14)	148 (15)	161 (15)	529 (19)
ent history, n (%)   Compared   Compared <thcompared< th="">   Compared   Compared<td><sub>3</sub>, mL/min, median (Q1, Q3)</td><td>40.8 (38.6, 42.5)</td><td>55.8 (51.0, 58.2)</td><td>78.6 (72.0, 84.8)</td><td>77.7 (69.6, 84.6)</td><td>116.8 (102.7, 136.</td></thcompared<>	<sub>3</sub> , mL/min, median (Q1, Q3)	40.8 (38.6, 42.5)	55.8 (51.0, 58.2)	78.6 (72.0, 84.8)	77.7 (69.6, 84.6)	116.8 (102.7, 136.
Imment naïve05 (6)79 (8)84 (8)670 (24)ogically suppressed8 (100)81 (94)896 (92)985 (92)2145 (76)	ent history, n (%)					
bgically suppressed 8 (100) 81 (94) 896 (92) 985 (92) 2145 (76)	tment naïve	0	5 (6)	79 (8)	84 (8)	670 (24)
	ogically suppressed	8 (100)	81 (94)	896 (92)	985 (92)	2145 (76)

alncludes American Indian or Alaska Native; Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander; other race; and participants with Not Permitted designation (when local regulators did not allow collection of race/ethnicity information). eGFR<sub>CG</sub>, estimated glomerular filtration rate by Cockcroft-Gault equation; Q, quartile.

#### **Baseline Characteristics**

renal impairment

### **Treatment Duration With B/F/TAF**

### eGFR<sub>cc</sub> Change From Baseline Through 5 Years



Timepoints are shown for up to 5 years (240 weeks) or the last timepoint at which the number of participants with data was ≥ 5. eGFR<sub>CG</sub>: Stage 2, 60-< 90 mL/min; Stage 3a, 45-< 60 mL/min; Stage 3b, 30-< 45 mL/min; no renal impairment, ≥ 90 /mL/min. eGFR<sub>CG</sub>, estimated glomerular filtration rate by Cockcroft-Gault equation; Q, quartile.

#### Median Change From Baseline in eGFR<sub>CG</sub>



Fimepoints are shown for up to 5 years (240 weeks) or the last timepoint at which the number of participants with data was  $\geq$  5. eGFR<sub>CG</sub>: Stage 2, 60-< 90 mL/min; Stage 3a, 45-< 60 mL/min; Stage 3b, 30-< 45 mL/min; no renal impairment, ≥ 90 /mL/min. eGFR<sub>CG</sub>, estimated glomerular filtration rate by Cockcroft-Gault equation; Q, quartile.

Disclosures: FP reports grants from Gilead Sciences, Inc., MSD, and ViiV Healthcare; payment or honoraria for lectures/education presentations from Gilead Sciences, Inc. and ViiV Healthcare; and conference attendance/travel reimbursements from MSD. **DW** reports grants or contracts from Gilead Sciences, Inc. and ViiV Healthcare; consulting fees from EMD Serono, Gilead Sciences, Inc., Merck, and ViiV Healthcare. IB reports grants or contracts and payment or honoraria from Gilead Sciences, Inc. and ViiV Healthcare. AA reports research/grant support from Gilead Sciences, Inc., GSK/ViiV Healthcare, Janssen, MSD, and Roche; travel support from Gilead Sciences, Inc.; and membership with the Committee of Thai AIDS Society, the Strategic and Technical Advisory Group on HIV, Hepatitis and STI for WHO SEARO, and the Thailand National Committee on ART, Hepatitis and TB/TPT.

Participants with more advanced CKD had a higher median age than those with less advanced CKD or no

 Median (quartile [Q]1, Q3) duration of B/F/TAF treatment was 83.4 (52.4, 101.9) weeks for the overall study population with renal impairment (Stage 2-3b eGFR<sub>CG</sub>) and 96.0 (64.1, 131.9) weeks for those without renal impairment

• By eGFR<sub>CG</sub> stage, median (Q1, Q3) [range] treatment duration was:

— Stage 2 eGFR<sub>CG</sub>: 84.3 (52.4, 103.4) [0.1-277.0] weeks

- Stage 3a eGFR<sub>CG</sub>: 72.5 (52.1, 96.0) [2.1-259.3] weeks

— Stage 3b eGFR<sub>cc</sub>: 95.4 (70.3, 101.8) [60.0-111.3] weeks

#### Change From Baseline in eGFR

(median [Q1, Q3] change: -11.9 [-24.1, -1.0] mL/min at Week 240)

#### **Renal TEAEs**

	eGFR <sub>cg</sub> 30–< 90 mL/min (Renal Impairment) n = 1069	eGFR <sub>cG</sub> ≥ 90 mL/min (No Renal Impairment) n = 2815
Any renal TEAE, n (%)	28 (2.6)	71 (2.5)
Acute kidney injury	10 (0.9)	14 (0.5)
Creatinine renal clearance decreased	6 (0.6)	5 (0.2)
Proteinuria	5 (0.5)	39 (1.4)
Blood creatinine increased	4 (0.4)	8 (0.3)
Renal impairment	4 (0.4)	0
Renal failure	2 (0.2)	4 (0.1)
Glomerular filtration rate decreased	1 (< 0.1)	0
Protein urine present	0	4 (0.1)
Albuminuria	0	2 (< 0.1)
Blood creatinine abnormal	0	1 (< 0.1)
Blood urea increased	0	1 (< 0.1)
Urine output decreased	0	1 (< 0.1)

eGFR<sub>CG</sub>, estimated glomerular filtration rate by Cockcroft-Gault equation; TEAE, treatment-emergent adverse event.

### **Renal TEAEs in Participants Receiving B/F/TAF**

- 7 (0.7%) were related to B/F/TAF
- and proteinuria (n = 39, 1.4%) in participants without renal impairment
- Renal TEAEs occurred in 71 (2.5%) of participants without renal impairment, with 22 (0.8%) related to B/F/TAF • The most common renal TEAEs were acute kidney injury (n = 10, 0.9%) in participants with renal impairment
- There were no cases of proximal renal tubulopathy or Fanconi syndrome

### Discontinuation of B/F/TAF Due to Renal TEAEs

	eGFR <sub>cG</sub> 30–< 90 mL/min (Renal Impairment) n = 1069	eGFR <sub>cG</sub> ≥ 90 mL/min (No Renal Impairment) n = 2815
Renal TEAE leading to B/F/TAF discontinuation, n (%)	1 (< 0.1)	0
Acute kidney injury	1 (< 0.1)	0

B/F/TAF, bictegravir/emtricitabine/tenofovir alafenamide; eGFR<sub>CG</sub>, estimated glomerular filtration rate by Cockcroft-Gault equation; TEAE, treatment-emergent adverse event.

#### Only 1 (< 0.1%) participant discontinued B/F/TAF after 3 months due to a moderate renal TEAE of acute kidney injury, judged by investigator to be unrelated to B/F/TAF The participant was a 68-year-old male with Stage 2 eGFR<sub>CG</sub> and HIV-1 RNA < 50 copies/mL at baseline. They were receiving therapy for ongoing hyperlipidemia and hypertension at baseline, as well as an

#### Limitations

Cockcroft-Gault equation

Disclosures (continued): HL, KA, and JTH are employees of, and own stocks/shares in, Gilead Sciences, Inc. SG reports grants from ViiV Healthcare; and consulting fees from Gilead Sciences, Inc. and ViiV Healthcare. GL, DH, and YY have nothing to declare.

**Correspondence:** Frank Post, frank.post@kcl.ac.uk.

## 859

GS-US-380-1489/ 1490/1844/1878/1961/ 4030/4449/4458/4580

 eGFR remained stable in all groups, with a small reduction in eGFR<sub>CG</sub> among participants with Stage 2 eGFR<sub>CG</sub> (median [Q1, Q3] change: -6.5 [-13.2, 0.6] mL/min at Week 240) and participants without renal impairment

Overall, 28 (2.6%) participants with renal impairment reported renal treatment-emergent adverse events (TEAEs);

anticancer treatment with known association with kidney complications prior to discontinuation

#### • Cystatin C-based eGFR was not evaluated, as in all trials included in the study, eGFR was calculated using the